

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,847	09/15/2003	Alexander J. Roberts	GP-302409	1208	
	7590 01/08/2007		EXAM	INER	
CHRISTOPHER DEVRIES General Motors Corporation			WALTERS, JOHN DANIEL		
Mail Code 482- P.O. Box 300	-C23-B21	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			3618		
•				·	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		01/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/662,847	ROBERTS, ALEXANDER J	ROBERTS, ALEXANDER J.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
John D. Walters	3618			

	John D. Walters	3618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 28 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig or than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, 	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecanse
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); otter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(1 102 024).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed to the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3,5,6,8-10 and 12-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	18 41	///
13. Other:		y Do	
		CHRISTOPHEI SUPERVISORY PAT	

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues that the combination of Tamai and Bhavsar is improper, as the addition of Bhavsar "would render Tamai improper for its intended purpose." Applicant states that the intended purpose of Tamai is to "make the engine on/off transients and deceleration as smooth as possible...and to provide improved regeneration with the electric motor..."

The stated purpose of Bhavsar, however, is to provide a vehicle "which provides an improved fuel economy, which provides steady state high load performance, and which operates smoothly and responsively under transient load conditions" (column 2, lines 8 - 11). The aims of Tamai and Bhavsar mesh and it is unclear why Applicant feels that the addition of the smooth operation method of Bhavsar would cause the system of Tamai to operate in a "non-smooth" style, i.e. against its intended purpose.

Applicant also argues that no motivation is provided for the above combination. Motivation is given within the previous rejections and is not specifically addressed by Applicant.

For at least these reasons, the rejections stand.